Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office



Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 12972 MEPA Analyst: **Bill G-49** *E* Phone: 617-626- 1025

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name:						
Construction of Well No. 7, East	on, MA					
Street: Off Red Mill Road						
Municipality: Easton		Watershed: Taunton River				
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 42-00'-08"				
N 15262626, E 1054832 (U.S. Feet)		Longitude: 71-09'-18"				
Estimated commencement date: Summer '03						
Approximate cost: \$700,000		Status of project design: 10 %complete				
Proponent: Easton Department of F	Public Wo	rks, Water Division	on			
Street: 417 Bay Road						
Municipality: South Easton		State: MA	Zip Code:	02375		
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained:						
Richard S. Johnson, P.E.						
Firm/Agency: Amory Engineers, P.C.		Street: P.O. Bo	et: P.O. Box 1768, 25 Depot Street			
Municipality: <i>Duxbury</i>		State: MA	Zip Code: 02331			
Phone: 781-934-0178 Fax: 78		1-934-6499	E-mail:	Ē-mail:		
			rjohnson@amo	oryengineers.com		
Dogg this project word or an extension		D.()	,,			
Does this project meet or exceed a mar			I CMR 11.03)'?	□No		
☐No Has this project been filed with MEPA before?						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		res (EOEA No. <u>11</u>	164)	∏No		
Has any project on this site been filed w						
	\boxtimes	Yes (EOEA No. <u>11</u>	<u>164</u>)	□No		
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.	05(7)) reque	esting:				
a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))	•					
		☐Yes		⊠No		
a Special Review Procedure? (see 3010		Yes		⊠No		
a Special Review Procedure? (see 3010 a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM		∐Yes ∐Yes		⊠No ⊠No		
a Special Review Procedure? (see 3010		Yes		⊠No		
a Special Review Procedure? (see 3010 a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM	MR 11.11) transfer fr	☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes rom an agency of		⊠No ⊠No ⊠No		
a Special Review Procedure? (see 3010 a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Identify any financial assistance or land	MR 11.11) I transfer frinding or la	Yes Yes Yes Yes rom an agency of the discresion of the discretion of the discretion	:_None	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ealth, including		

Which ENF or EIR review three	shold(s) does tl	he project me	eet or excee	d (see 301 CMR 11.03):		
☐ Land ☑ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	□ Rare Spec □ Wastewate □ Air □ Regulation	e Species				
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &		
& Environmental Impacts		Ī		Approvals		
Total site acreage	LAND			☑ Order of Conditions☐ Superseding Order of Conditions		
New acres of land altered		1		Conditions Chapter 91 License		
Acres of impervious area	0	0.71	0.71	│		
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		N/A		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit		
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		N/A		Water Management Act Permit ■ Mater Management Act Permit ■ Mater Management ■ Mater Mater Management ■ Mater Ma		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		N/A		□ New Source Approval □ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit		
STR	UCTURES			Other Permits		
Gross square footage	N/A	1,000	1,000	(including Legislative		
Number of housing units	N/A	N/A	N/A	Approvals) – Specify:		
Maximum height (in feet)	N/A	12	12			
TRANS	PORTATION					
Vehicle trips per day	0	2	2			
Parking spaces	0	2	2			
WATER/V	VASTEWATE	R				
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	200	200	(Water Sampling)		
GPD water withdrawal	0	468,000	468,000			
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	2,000 l.f., 0.38 miles	2,000 l.f., 0.38 miles			
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the proresources to any purpose not in according Yes (Specify Will it involve the release of any conservation, or watershed preservation Yes (Specify Yes (Specify	ervation restriction?	n, preservatio	⊠No			

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?
Yes (Specify: Spotted Turtle (Clemmys Guttata) and a mussel (Alasmidonta Undulata)
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify) ☐No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern? ⊠Yes (Specify: Canoe River Aquifer) □No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (<i>You may attach one additional page, if necessary.</i>)
The Town of Easton intends to construct a well and pumping station to meet its growing water demands. A site for this purpose has been identified by exploratory test wells and a prolonged pumping test in an area formally known as Edward's Pit. All land necessary for the project is owned by the Town. The site, which lies in the Canoe River Watershed, is wooded upland and is near wetlands which border the Canoe River.
The Project will include one gravel-packed well, a pumping/treatment facility and access road from Red Mill Road. The facility will be single story of concrete block construction having a floor area of approximately 1,000 square feet.
Potential alternatives to the development of Well 7 include development at a different location, demand management via water conservation or regional approach to water supply.
The Town of Easton has conducted numerous test well programs throughout town over the past 20± years. Four sites within Town have been identified – Borderland State Park, Hockomock Swamp, Whitman Brook and the current site. DEM has been and will likely continue to be opposed to source development at Borderland State Park.
The Hockomock Swamp site, located downstream of the Town's landfill and within an ACEC, would have significant water quality and development obstacles to overcome. In addition, with the approval of New Bedford/Fall River MBTA commuter rail, this site may no longer be feasible. Whitman Brook site is no longer feasible due to recent land development nearby.
More recent groundwater exploration (1997) has identified three sites with water supply potential. Two are within the Queset Brook Aguifer near existing Town Wells 1, 2 and 4. Queset Brook Aguifer is never as

More recent groundwater exploration (1997) has identified three sites with water supply potential. Two are within the Queset Brook Aquifer near existing Town Wells 1, 2 and 4. Queset Brook Aquifer is now near maximum sustainable yield. The last site, within the Mulberry Brook Aquifer, could be in conflict with cranberry cultivation immediately downstream. While the Town is taking appropriate measures to preserve and protect land at these sites, all have development issues more stringent than the Well 7 site.

The Water Division has an active Demand Management/Water Conservation Program. The program has reduced unaccounted-for water to about 10 percent. The program has reduced average day use. The Water Division continues to focus on public education programs.

The Town has investigated regional (or out-of-town) sources of supply, including the Bluestone Regional Water Supply Project and possible expansion of the MWRA system. We understand that the Bluestone project initially

will treat 5 mgd of Taunton River water at a site in Dighton for use by water-short communities (such as Brockton, Norton, Mansfield and Sharon). The MWRA expansion to service Brockton may also be a regional supply option. However, timing of regional supplies for Easton's use is uncertain and not in the Town's control. Neighboring communities do not have sufficient supply to offer Easton. Therefore, development of Well 7 is necessary.